

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/858,245	05/19/1997	ROBERTO PADOVANI	QCPA418	5160	
23696	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Qualcomm Incorporated			. VO, NGUYI	. VO, NGUYEN THANH	
Patents Depart 5775 Morehou			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2685	36	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
* Office Action Summary	08/858,245	PADOVANI, ROBERTO				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Nguyen T Vo	correspondence address				
Period for Reply		oorrospondonos adaross				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 32-53 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 32-37,39-43 and 45-49 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 38, 44, 50-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application It documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's petition for revival of an application for patent abandoned unintentionally under 37 CFR 1.137(b) filed on 02/17/2004. This action is made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 38, 44, 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andersson (5,375,123).

As to claim 38, Andersson discloses all the claimed limitations. More specifically, Andersson discloses "setting a set of parameters of a cellular network to optimize said cellular network" (setting a set of carrier-to-interference ratios of the downlink channels to be used by a plurality of base stations, see column 4 lines 4-48. For the claimed limitation "optimize", see column 5 lines 25-35 which disclosing determining an optimal channel); "operating the cellular network in a predetermined interval" (the time interval in which a mobile station measures pilot signals, and reports the measured pilot signals to the base stations, see column 4 lines 14-49); "compiling strengths of pilot signals received at a mobile station and caused by said operating, said compliation resulting in a pilot strength message" (measuring interference values at the mobile stations, see

Art Unit: 2685

column 4 lines 4-49); "communicating said pilot signal strength message from said mobile station to another station" (transmitting the measured values from the mobile station to a base station, see column 4 lines 4-9, lines 16-32), "saving data to a database from said received pilot signal strength messages during said predetermined interval" (see column 5 lines 25-35); and "revising said set of parameters in accordance with said data" (modifying the carrier-to-interference ratios at the base stations, see column 4 lines 33-49). Regarding the newly-added limitation "wherein the mobile station is in communication with at least one base station", applicant's attention is directed to column 4 lines 14-49. The already connected mobile station performs MAHO (mobile assisted handoff) in which it measures signal quality from adjacent base stations during handoff. Since the already connected mobile station is still connecting and communicating with its serving base station during handoff, column 4 lines 14-49 of Andersson reads on the newly-added claimed limitation.

As to claims 44, 50, 52-53, they are rejected for similar reasons as set forth in claim 38 above.

As to claim 51, see Andersson, column 4 lines 4-9, lines 16-32.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 32-37, 39-43 and 45-49 are allowed.

As to claims 32-37, 39-43 and 45-49, the applied reference fails to disclose or render obvious saving pilot signal strength measurements for each base station in a database, wherein the pilot strength measurements are saved regardless of the measurement value; requesting a majority of said saved pilot strength measurements

Art Unit: 2685

from the database; compiling said pilot strength measurements for said each base station; and saving compiled pilot strength messages in a database, as specified in independent claims 32, 39 and 45.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 02/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Andersson fails to disclose the newly-added claimed limitation "wherein the mobile station is in communication with at least one base station", applicant's attention is directed to column 4 lines 14-49. The already connected mobile station performs MAHO (mobile assisted handoff) in which it measures signal quality from adjacent base stations during handoff. Since the already connected mobile station is still connecting and communicating with its serving base station during handoff, column 4 lines 14-49 of Andersson reads on the newly-added claimed limitation.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2685

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nguyen T Vo whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (703)305-4385. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen Vo

NGUYEN T. VO

PRIMARY EXAMINER